

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Confirmation No. 4717

Andreas PFALTZ et al.

Attorney Docket No. 2006 0704A

Serial No. 10/582,404

Group Art Unit 1621

Filed June 9, 2006

Examiner Maria Louisa Lao

METHOD FOR PRODUCING ORTHOMETALATED AND **ORTHOSUBSTITUTED** AROMATIC COMPOUNDS

Mail Stop Amendment

## PATENT OFFICE FEE TRANSMITTAL FORM

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE FEE FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975.

Attached hereto is a check in the amount of \$130.00 to cover Patent Office fees relating to filing the following attached papers:

Terminal Disclaimer ......\$130.00

A duplicate copy of this paper is being submitted for use in the Accounting Division, Office of Finance.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or to credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 23-0975, with the EXCEPTION of deficiencies in fees for multiple dependent claims in new applications.

Respectfully submitted,

Andreas PFALTZ et al.

Registration No. 25,134

Attorney for Applicants

MRD/pth WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K St., N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-1021 Telephone (202) 721-8200 April 21, 2008

[Check No. 856

IN THE UNKSED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Confirmation No. 4717

Andreas PFALTZ et al.

Attorney Docket No. 2006 0704A

Serial No. 10/582,404

Group Art Unit 1621

Filed June 9, 2006

Examiner Maria Louisa Lao

METHOD FOR PRODUCING ORTHOMETALATED AND ORTHOSUBSTITUTED AROMATIC COMPOUNDS Mail Stop: Amendment

RESPONSE

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE FEE FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975.

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is responsive to the Office Action mailed January 22, 2008.

Initially, although the Office Action Summary page indicates that claims 1-3, 5-15 and 17-21 are pending in the application, the pending claims are in fact claims 1-3 and 5-21. These claims are set forth in the Preliminary Amendment filed with the application papers on June 9, 2006.

In item 1 on page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner indicates that Applicants' election of the Group I subject matter was an "election with traverse". This is incorrect. The Response to Restriction Requirement filed October 26, 2007 does not include traversal of the restriction requirement.

The provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection set forth in item 5 on page 3 of the Office Action includes claims 7 and 9. However, Applicants note that claim 9 is included

among the allowed claims in item 6; and item 7 indicates that claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

In response to the double patenting rejection, Applicants are submitting a Terminal Disclaimer herewith, which is effective to overcome the double patenting rejection.

Accordingly, each of the grounds of objection and rejection set forth by the Examiner has been overcome, and the application is considered to be in condition for allowance. Such allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Andreas PFALTZ et al.

By:

Michael R. Davis

Registration No. 25,134 Attorney for Applicants

MRD/pth

Washington, D.C. 20006-1021

Telephone (202) 721-8200
' Facsimile (202) 721-8250

April 21, 2008